Long-Term Treatment With Lirentelimab, a Monoclonal Antibody Against Siglec-8, in Patients With Eosinophilic Gastritis and/or Duodenitis

¹Mayo Clinic Rochester, Rochester, MN; ²University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT; ³Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY; ⁴University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Chicago, IL; ⁶Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX; ⁷Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA; ⁸NIAID/NIH, Bethesda, MD; ⁹Ventura Clinical Trials, Ventura, CA; ¹⁰Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN; ¹¹Division of Allergy and Immunology, Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH; ¹²Pharma Data Associates, Piscataway, New Jersey; ¹³Allakos, Inc., Redwood City, CA; ¹⁴University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

BACKGROUND

- Pathologic accumulation and over-activation of eosinophils and mast cells are implicated in multiple chronic inflammatory diseases in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, including eosinophilic esophagitis (EoE), gastritis (EG), duodenitis (EoD), and colitis—collectively termed eosinophilic gastrointestinal diseases (EGIDs)^{1,2}
- Patients with EGIDs have decreased quality of life due to chronic debilitating and often nonspecific symptoms such as dysphagia, abdominal pain, bloating, nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea³

Figure 1. Pathogenesis of EGIDs



- EG and EoD are thought to affect 45,000–50,000 patients in the US, although this number might be underestimated; there is evidence that EG and EoD are as common as inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD)^{4,5}
- Current treatment options, such as diet restriction and corticosteroids, have limited efficacy and/or are inappropriate for chronic use
- There is an unmet need for novel therapies

Figure 2. AK002 (lirentelimab) Proposed Mechanism of Action Activatin Receptors Activation Mast Cell Inflammatory Response Eosinophil ----> ADCC/Apoptosis Eosinophil Siglec-8 is an inhibitory receptor selectively expressed on mature human

- eosinophils and mast cells and is a therapeutic target for EGIDs Lirentelimab (AK002), an investigational medicine, is a humanized, non-
- fucosylated IgG1 monoclonal antibody against Siglec-8*
- Engagement of Siglec-8 receptor by lirentelimab induces: - Antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity (ADCC, of blood eosinophils) and apoptosis (of tissue eosinophils)
- Inhibition of mature mast cells in tissues
- Results from a phase 2 study of lirentelimab (ENIGMA) in patients with EG and/or EoD (EG/EoD) have been published⁶; 58 of 59 patients who completed ENIGMA chose to enter the open-label extension (OLE) and receive lirentelimab
- We present interim results (as of 7/72021) from this OLE study

Joseph A. Murray MD¹, Kathryn A. Peterson MD², Mirna Chehade MD MPH³, Gary W. Falk MD⁴, Nirmala Gonsalves MD⁵, Robert M. Genta MD⁶, John Leung MD⁷, Paneez Khoury MD⁸, Amy D. Klion MD⁸, Sabine Hazan MD⁹, Michael Vaezi MD¹⁰, Adam C. Bledsoe MD¹, Sandy R. Durrani MD¹¹, Chao Wang PhD¹², Camilla Shaw BSN RN¹³, Alan T. Chang BS¹³, Bhupinder Singh MD¹³, Amol P. Kamboj MD¹³, Henrik S. Rasmussen MD PhD¹³, Marc E. Rothenberg MD PhD¹¹, Ikuo Hirano MD⁵, and Evan S. Dellon MD MPH¹⁴

ENIGMA was a phase 2, multi-center, randomized, double-blind, placebo-						
controlled study in 65 patients with EG/EoD that included patients with: — Active moderate—severe symptoms ^a in the daily 8-symptom EG/EoD-SQ [©]						We
Questionnaire (the p – Biopsy confirmed EQ • Stomach: ≥30 eos • Duodenum: ≥30 eos • Lirentelimab met the p well tolerated ⁵ a Entry criteria: average weekly score over ≥2 we	Datient-reported outc G/EoD 5/hpf in 5 hpfs os/hpf in 3 hpfs orimary and seconda	ome [PRC ary endpoi)] question nts and wa	inaire) as generally	/	51- 93-
	OBJECTI	/E				
To determine the safe EG/EoD	ety and efficacy of lor	ng-term lire	entelimab	in patients v	with	a Tota b EG : c Fish
	METHOD	S				Figu
Patients who complet	ed ENIGMA had the	option to	receive lire	entelimab ir	1 the	Figu
 Patients enrolled in th 	e OLE received up t	o 26 mont	hly infusio	ns of AK00	2,	
administered intraven	ously every 28 days	, titrated u	p to 3.0 m	g/kg		
Patients underwent a	n upper endoscopy w	with biopsy	y on day 3	23 (week 40	6)	ABL
and day 659 (week 94	4) after entering ENI	GMA				H SE
Symptoms assessed	with daily PRO ques	tionnaire (0 –10 sca	le)		lean m EN
		`				SS M ∆ fro
Table 1. Baseline Cl	haracteristics	5				⊥ ′ %
Pat	Patient Characteristics OLE Patients (n=58)					
	Age, Mean (Range) 41 (18–74)				-	
	Female 60%					
	White 93%					
Gl ^a Eosinophils/hpf, Mean (Range) 74 (33–201)						a Tota 1 pa
Gl ^a Mast Cells/hpf, Mean (Range) 60 (20–114)						
Total Symptom Score [0-80], Mean (Range) 32 (6–61)				Fiau		
% of Patients (n) by blood AEC ^b /µL		<500	31% (10) 18)		
a Gastrointestinal; gastric (5 hpfs) or duodenum (3	3 hpfs) site with highest eosinophil or mast c	ell counts	5170 (10)		
b AEC, absolute eosinophil count	.,					
Table 2. Continued	Symptom Reduc	tion Thro	ough 94	Weeks		
				Percent		ą
Lirentelimab Exposure (Weeks) ^a	Diagnosis	Baseline ^b TSS	Change in TSS	change in TSS		
	EG±EoD (n=15)	35	-20	-61%		, in the second s
51–52 (n=31)	EoD without EG (n=16)	34	-26	-73%		

P value^c

EG±EoD (n=15)

EoD without EG (n=16

P value

b Baseline refers to ENIGMA (if randomized to treatment arm) or OLE (if randomized to placebo in ENIGMA)

93–94 (n=31)

a Total AK002 exposure, including exposure during the ENIGMA study

0.2500

-70%

-80%

0.2512

0.1567

-23

0.1763

0.8705



Acknowledgements: We thank the patients who participated in this study, investigators, and study staff. This study was funded by Allakos, Inc. and in part by Division of Intramural Research, NIAID, NIH

Figure 6. Proportion of Patients Meeting Histologic Remission

Eosinophils $\leq 4/hpf$ (Stomach) and/or $\leq 15/hpf$ (Duodenum)



Day 659 Biopsy

 Lirentelimab produced sustained depletion of tissue eosinophils; 45/48 (94%) patients at day 323 and 31/31 (100%) at day 659 were in histologic remission^b

ssion was defined as eosinophils $\leq 4/hpf$ (stomach) and/or $\leq 15/hpf$ (duoder

Table 3. OLE Safety Summary

Treatment-emergent Adverse Events in >5% of

Patients				
% of Patients, (n)	Total (n=58)			
Infusion-related reaction	34% (20)			
Nasopharyngitis	17% (10)	Lirentelimab was generally well		
Headache	16% (9)	tolerated		
Nausea	12% (7)	 Most common adverse event was 		
Rash	10% (6)			
Influenza	10% (6)	mild to moderate infusion-related		
Diarrhea	10% (6)	mild to moderate infusion-related		
Anxiety	10% (6)	reaction ^a ; mostly occurred on first		
d creatine phosphokinase increased	10% (6)	infusion greatly reduced or did not		
Sinusitis	9% (5)	initiation, greatly reduced of did not		
Fatigue	9% (5)	occur on subsequent infusions		
Vomiting	9% (5)	No drug related carious advarga		
Anemia	9% (5)	 No drug-related serious adverse 		
Urinary tract infection	9% (5)	events in the OLE as of 7/7/2021		
Abdominal pain	7% (4)			
Neutrophilia	7% (4)			
Hypertension	7% (4)			
Oropharyngeal pain	7% (4)			
Chest pain	7% (4)			

a Symptoms included flushing, feeling of warmth, headache, nausea, and/or dizziness

CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION

• Long-term treatment with lirentelimab resulted in histologic & symptomatic improvements in patients with EG and/or EoD through week 94 - Symptomatic responses improved with increased duration of treatment Response was similar across patients with EG and/or EoD Long-term lirentelimab was generally well tolerated; OLE results help characterize its safety profile in the studied patient populations Additional studies of lirentelimab are ongoing:

- Phase 3 randomized trial in patients with EG/EoD (NCT04322604) Phase 2/3 randomized trial in patients with EoE (NCT04322708)