RESEARCH LETTERS

High Discovery Rate of Duodenal and Gastric Eosinophilia in Patients With Unexplained Moderate–Severe Abdominal Symptoms: A Prospective US Multisite Study



E osinophilic gastrointestinal (GI) diseases, including eosinophilic gastritis (EoG) and eosinophilic duodenitis (EoD), are chronic inflammatory conditions characterized by GI tissue eosinophilia and persistent, often debilitating, GI symptoms.¹ Although considered rare, emerging evidence suggests that the prevalence of eosinophilic GI diseases is increasing in the United States, and may be more prevalent than previously thought.^{1,2} Notably, diagnosis requires an adequate biopsy protocol, as disease is often patchy,³ and the diagnosis may be overlooked if eosinophils are not counted.⁴

The aim of this prospective, multicenter study was to investigate the discovery rate of gastric and duodenal eosinophilia among patients in secondary GI care with moderate-severe unexplained abdominal symptoms. Abdominal symptoms were measured using the Total Symptom Score (TSS), a previously developed daily symptom questionnaire measuring 8 GI symptoms with a score range of 0-80.⁵ For comparison, and to better characterize normal levels, we performed the same assessments in a group of healthy, asymptomatic controls in a separate study.

These 2 multicenter prospective studies were conducted in centers across the United States. In 1 study, patients with ≥ 6 months of symptoms (abdominal pain, abdominal cramping, early satiety, bloating, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and loss of appetite) and a TSS ≥ 10 were recruited from 20 centers. In the other study, asymptomatic controls (TSS \leq 1) were recruited by advertisement from 4 of the 20 centers. Patients or controls who met respective symptom-severity criteria via a daily patient-reported questionnaire⁵ underwent esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) with systematic collection of gastroduodenal biopsies. No consensus guidelines exist for diagnosis of EoG/EoD but, based on expert input and criteria used in randomized studies, we used thresholds of \geq 30 eosinophils per high-powered field (eos/hpf) in \geq 5 gastric hpfs and \geq 30 eos/hpf in \geq 3 duodenal hpfs.⁵ A primary objective of these studies was to determine the proportion of patients and controls who met prespecified histologic criteria for EoG/EoD. See Supplementary Material for additional details.

Of the 556 patients screened, 405 met symptom criteria and underwent EGD with biopsies, and 181 (45%) met histologic criteria for EoG/EoD. The rate of EoG/EoD was 43% (152 of 353) after removing patients with active *Helicobacter pylori* infection (Figure 1*A*, Supplementary Table 1). Mean (SD) TSS was 31 (11) and 30 (12) for EoG/EoD and EoG- or EoD-negative, respectively, and mean (SD) TSS for controls was 0.1 (0.2) (Figure 1*B*).

In the EoG/EoD group (n = 152), 10 had EoG only (7%), 114 had EoD only (75%), and 28 had both EoG and EoD (18%) (Figure 1*C* and *D*). There were 201 EoG- or

EoD-negative patients who met symptom criteria and underwent EGD, were negative for *H pylori*, but did not meet histologic criteria for EoG/EoD (<30 eos/hpf). Of 33 evaluable asymptomatic, healthy controls, 2 (6%) met histologic criteria for EoG/EoD. The age- and sex-adjusted odds ratio for EoG/EoD in symptomatic patients vs controls was 11.0 (95% CI, 2.6–47.6).

Combining all symptomatic patients, gastric eosinophils did not correlate with TSS (Pearson r = 0.08, P = .11), but correlated with early satiety (r = 0.11, P = .03), and duodenal eosinophils did not correlate with TTS (r = 0.06, P = .24), but correlated with diarrhea (r = 0.13, P = .009). Among EoG/EoD alone, gastric eosinophils correlated with vomiting only (r = 0.16, P = .049). We hypothesize that other factors may contribute to symptom severity which warrant further study.

In the EoG/EoD group (n = 152), 102 (67%) had received a clinical diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), 84 (55%) had received a diagnosis of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), 26 (17%) had received a diagnosis of functional dyspepsia, and 145 (95%) had a history of receiving at least 1 of these diagnoses. Seven patients (5%) with EoG/EoD had received a diagnosis of eosinophilic esophagitis previously.

Overall, 47% (72 of 152) of the EoG/EoD cohort and 43% (87 of 201) of the symptomatic patients without EoG/ EoD had a history of an atopic condition (most frequently asthma and allergic rhinitis), vs 15% of controls (5 of 33) (P< .001 vs EoG/EoD cohort; P = .002 vs symptomatic patients without EoG/EoD cohort). Median peripheral blood eosinophil counts were 180 cells/ μ L (interquartile range, 110–260 cells/ μ L) in patients with EoG/EoD, 110 cells/ μ L (interquartile range, 70–160 cells/ μ L) in EoG- or EoDnegative patients, and 70 cells/ μ L (interquartile range, 50–150 cells/ μ L) in controls (P < .0001 for EoG/EoD vs EoG- or EoD-negative or controls). Similar proportions of patients reported worsening of their GI symptoms with certain foods (73% of patients with EoG/EoD, 82% of EoGor EoD-negative patients) or avoided specific foods (57% of

§Authors share co-senior authorship.

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the AGA Institute. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Abbreviations used in this paper: DGBI, disorder of gut-brain interactions; EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; EoD, eosinophilic duodenitis; EoG, eosinophilic gastritis; eos/hpf, eosinophils per high-powered field; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; GI, gastrointestinal; IBS, irritable bowel syndrome; TSS, Total Symptom Score.

Most current article



Figure 1. Patient histologic and symptom assessment. (A) Study flow chart and discovery rate of EoG/EoD from the symptomatic patient cohort. ^aHistologic criteria is defined as \geq 30 eos/hpf in 5 gastric hpfs and/or \geq 30 eos/hpf in 3 duodenal hpfs. (*B*) Mean TSS for patients with EoG/EoD, histologically EoG/EoD-negative (EoG/EoD-neg) patients, and healthy controls. (*C*) Mean gastric eosinophil counts per high-powered field (HPF) in patients with EoG (with or without EoD [EoG±EoD]), without EoG/EoD (EoG/EoD-neg), and controls. ^bThese include mean across 5 highest HPFs for gastric eosinophil counts. (*D*) Mean duodenal eosinophil count per HPF in patients with EoD (with or without EoG [EoD±EoG]), without EoG/EoD (EoG/EoD (EoG/EoD neg), and controls. ^bThese include mean across 5 highest HPFs for gastric eosinophil counts. (*D*) Mean duodenal eosinophil count per HPF in patients with EoD (with or without EoG [EoD±EoG]), without EoG/EoD (EoG/EoD neg), and controls. ^cThese include mean across 3 highest HPFs for duodenal eosinophil counts. (*B–D*) All subjects were negative for *Helicobacter pylori*. Boxes indicate 25th and 75th percentiles; *center line* indicates median. Welch's unpaired *t* test. **P* < .05; ***P* < .01; ****P* < .001; ns, not significant.

patients with EoG/EoD, 62% of EoG/EoD-negative patients). Of 116 patients on a proton pump inhibitor, the EoG/EoD discovery rate was 48% (56 of 116) vs 41% (96 of 237) in the 237 patients not on a proton pump inhibitor (P = .17).

Current guidelines discourage systematic biopsies in the diagnostic workup of patients with chronic gastroduodenal symptoms, but detecting or ruling out EoG/EoD has not

been a major consideration.⁶ This large, prospective, multicenter, observational study suggests that gastric and duodenal eosinophilia may be more common than previously reported among US patients with chronic abdominal symptoms, including those with a prior diagnosis of a disorder of gut-brain interactions (DGBI). Forty-three percent of patients with moderate-severe symptoms met the histologic criteria for EoG/EoD. Ninety-three percent of patients with EoG/EoD had duodenal involvement with or without the presence of gastric involvement, indicating that EoD is more common than EoG when using these histologic criteria. Mean eosinophil counts from our healthy control group (7 gastric and 19 duodenal eos/hpf) suggest the use of a cutoff threshold of \geq 30 eos/hpf used in clinical trials is conservative (Figure 1*C* and *D*) although further analyses are warranted to determine a cutoff range that is specific for real-world EoG/EoD diagnosis.^{5,7}

Patients with chronic, unexplained abdominal symptoms are frequently diagnosed with a DGBI due to the absence of an identifiable underlying organic cause. Among patients with unexplained dyspepsia who have a normal EGD, a metaanalysis of case-control studies reported eosinophil degranulation was a consistent biomarker of disease.⁸ Duodenal tissue eosinophilia has also been associated with a substantially increased risk of new-onset GERD.⁹ Most patients found to have EoG/EoD in this study had received a previous diagnosis of dyspepsia, IBS, and/or GERD, but cause and effect cannot be determined. Although the current study suggests increased duodenal and gastric eosinophils might be a useful biomarker, evaluation of anti-eosinophil therapies in terms of symptom management is outside the scope of these studies. It is possible the eosinophils are not inducing symptoms and are incidental as tissue-resident cells.¹⁰ Eosinophils are also involved in tissue repair, and it is conceivable that they play a protective role in the mucosa against food or microbial antigens.¹⁰

This study had several limitations, one of which was that the control group was collected as part of a separate clinical protocol. However, this drawback is mitigated by the fact that all of the control subjects were enrolled at the same clinical sites as the symptomatic patients. Historical diagnoses of DGBIs and GERD were based on medical records, not necessarily on established diagnostic criteria. Biopsies were collected from the second and third parts of the duodenum only, so eosinophilia of the duodenal bulb could have been missed. Symptomatic patients were older than controls and a higher proportion of patients were female.

In summary, in patients with at least a 6-month history of moderate–severe chronic, unexplained abdominal symptoms, we observed a high rate of increased duodenal and gastric eosinophilia, at levels used consistently in the diagnosis of EoG and EoD. Most of these subjects had a previous diagnosis of functional dyspepsia, IBS, and/or GERD. The precise role of eosinophils in symptomatic patients previously presumed to have a DGBI or GERD remains to be elucidated; however, these findings suggest that gastroduodenal eosinophilia may be more common than previously recognized.

Supplementary Material

Note: To access the supplementary material accompanying this article, visit the online version of *Gastroenterology* at www.gastrojournal.org, and at https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2022.12.015.

NICHOLAS J. TALLEY University of Newcastle Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia

KATHRYN A. PETERSON University of Utah Salt Lake City, Utah

ROBERT M. GENTA Baylor College of Medicine Houston, Texas

ALAN T. CHANG Allakos Inc San Carlos, California

EVAN S. DELLON[§] University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carolina

WILLIAM J. SANDBORN[§] University of California San Diego La Jolla, California

THE 019 STUDY TEAM

References

- 1. Walker MM, et al. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 3:271–280.
- 2. Licari A, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2020; 8:1994–2003.e2.
- 3. Dellon ES, et al. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022; 20:535–545.e15.
- Genta RM, et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2022; 56:240–250.
- 5. Dellon ES, et al. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1624-1634.
- Moayyedi P, et al. Am J Gastroenterol 2017; 112:988–1013.
- 7. Reed CC, et al. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 19:2102–2111.
- 8. Shah A, et al. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022; 20:2229–2242.
- 9. Ronkainen J, et al. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2019; 50:24–32.
- 10. Gurtner A, et al. Semin Immunopathol 2021;43:295-306.

Received October 18, 2022. Accepted December 17, 2022.

Correspondence

Address correspondence to: Nicholas J. Talley, MD, PhD, School of Health and Medicine, University of Newcastle, Hunter Medical Research Institute, Lot 1, Kookaburra Circuit, New Lambton Heights, New South Wales, 2305 Australia. e-mail: nicholas.talley@newcastle.edu.au.

Acknowledgments

The 019 Study Team includes Brian E. Lacy,¹ Mirna Chehade,² Ikuo Hirano,³ Nirmala Gonsalves,³ Anthony Lembo,⁴ Colleen M. Schmitt,⁵ Marc E.

Rothenberg,⁶ Neal Jain,⁷ Maria A. Pletneva,⁸ Kevin O. Turner,⁹ and Bradford A. Youngblood¹⁰; from the ¹Mayo Clinic Jacksonville, Jacksonville, Jiorida; ²Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, New York; ³Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois; ⁴Division of Gastroenterology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts; ⁵Galen Medical Group, Chattanooga, Tennessee; ⁶Division of Allergy and Immunology, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio; ⁷Arizona Allergy & Immunology Research, LLC, Arizona; ⁸University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah; ⁹University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota; and ¹⁰Allakos Inc, San Carlos, California.

CRediT Authorship Contributions

Nicholas J. Talley, MD, PhD (Conceptualization: Equal; Formal analysis: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Writing – original draft: Lead; Writing – review & editing: Equal).

Kathryn A. Peterson, MD (Formal analysis: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Writing – review & editing: Equal).

Robert M. Genta, MD (Formal analysis: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Writing – review &editing: Equal).

Alan T. Chang, BS (Data curation: Equal; Funding acquisition: Lead; Project administration: Lead; Resources: Lead; Writing – review & editing: Equal).

Evan S. Dellon, MD, MPH (Formal analysis: Equal; Investigation: Équal; Writing –original draft: Equal; Writing – review & editing: Equal).

William J. Sandborn, MD (Formal analysis: Equal; Investigation: Equal; Writing –original draft: Equal; Writing – review & editing: Equal).

Conflicts of interest

These authors disclose the following: Nicholas J. Talley is supported by funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) to the Centre for Research Excellence in Digestive Health and he holds an NHMRC Investigator grant. Dr Talley reports nonfinancial support from Norgine (2021) (IBS interest group); reports research grants from Allakos, Astra Zeneca; personal fees from Allakos (eosinophilic disease) (2021), Bayer (IBS) (2020), Planet Innovation (Gas capsule IBS) (2020), twoXAR Viscera Labs, (USA 2021) (IBS-diarrhea), Dr Falk Pharma (2020) (eosinophilic esophagitis). Sanofi-Aventis, Glutagen (2020) (celiac disease), IsoThrive (2021) (esophageal microbiome), BluMaiden (microbiome advisory board) (2021), Rose Pharma (IBS) (2021), Intrinsic Medicine (2022) (human milk oligosaccharide), Comvita Mānuka Honey (2021) (digestive health), Astra Zeneca (2022), and Glaxo (2022) outside the submitted work. In addition, Dr Talley has a patent on Nepean Dyspepsia Index (NDI) 1998 and Biomarkers of IBS licensed, a patent on Licensing Questionnaires Talley Bowel Disease Questionnaire licensed to Mayo/Talley, a patent on Nestec European Patent licensed, a Singapore Provisional Patent "Microbiota Modulation of BDNF Tissue Repair Pathway" issued, and "Diagnostic marker for functional gastrointestinal disorders." Australian Provisional Patent Application 2021901692. Committees: NHMRC member, Asia Pacific Association of Medical Journal Editors, Rome V Working Team Member (gastroduodenal committee), International Plausibility Project co-chair (Rome Foundation funded), COVID-19 vaccine forum member (by invitation only). Community groups: advisory board, International Foundation for Functional GI Disorders and ausEE Inc. Editorial: Medical Journal of Australia (editor in chief), Mayo Clinic Proceedings (associate editor), UpToDate (section editor), Precision and Future Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, South Korea (Journal of Cell Press). Kathryn A. Peterson is a consultant and on advisory boards for Medscape, Ellodi, Takeda, Allakos, Alladapt, AstraZeneca, Regeneron-Sanofi, Bristol Meyers Squibb, and Lucid, and is a speaker for Medscape, Takeda, Regeneron, and Peerview. Robert M. Genta is a consultant for Allakos, Adare/Ellodi, and Red Hill pharmaceuticals. Alan T. Chang is an employee of Allakos, own stocks and is named on patents for the company. Evan S. Dellon receives research funding from Adare/Ellodi, Allakos, AstraZeneca, GSK, Meritage, Miraca, Nutricia, Celgene/Receptos/ BMS, Regeneron, Shire/Takeda; is a consultant for Abbott, Adare/Ellodi, Aimmune, Allakos, Amgen, Arena, AstraZeneca, Avir, Biorasi, Calypso, Celgene/Receptos/BMS, Celldex, Eli Lilly, EsoCap, GSK, Gossamer Bio, Parexel, Regeneron, Robarts/Alimentiv, Salix, Sanofi, and Shire/Takeda; and received educational grants from Allakos, Banner, Holoclara. William J.

Sandborn reports research grants from Abbvie, Abivax. Arena Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Celgene, Genentech, Gilead Sciences, Glaxo Smith Kline, Janssen, Lilly, Pfizer, Prometheus Biosciences, Seres Therapeutics, Shire, Takeda, Theravance Biopharma; consulting fees from Abbvie, Abivax, Admirx, Alfasigma, Alimentiv (previously Robarts Clinical Trials, owned by Alimentiv Health Trust), Alivio Therapeutics, Allakos, Allergan, Amgen, Applied Molecular Transport, Arena Pharmaceuticals, Avexegen Therapeutics, Bausch Health (Salix), Beigene, Bellatrix Pharmaceuticals, Boehringer Ingelheim, Boston Pharmaceuticals, Bristol Celgene, Cellularity, Squibb, Celltrion. Cosmo Mever Conatus, Biosciences, Ferring, Forbion, Equillium. Pharmaceuticals, Escalier Genentech/Roche, Gilead Sciences, Glenmark Pharmaceuticals, Gossamer Bio, Immunic (Vital Therapies), Incyte, Index Pharmaceuticals, Intact Therapeutics, Janssen, Kyowa Kirin Pharmaceutical Research, Kyverna Therapeutics, Landos Biopharma, Lilly, Miraca Life Sciences, Nivalis Therapeutics, Novartis, Nutrition Science Partners, Oppilan Pharma, Otsuka, Pandion Therapeutics, Paul Hastings, Pfizer, Progenity, Prometheus Bio, Protagonists Therapeutics, Provention Biosciences. Reistone Biopharma, Ritter Pharmaceuticals, Seres Therapeutics, Shanghai Pharma Biotherapeutics, Shire, Shoreline Biosciences, Sienna Biopharmaceuticals, Sigmoid Biotechnologies, Sterna Biologicals, Sublimity Therapeutics, Surrozen, Takeda, Theravance Biopharma, Thetis Pharmaceuticals, Tigenix, Tillotts Pharma, UCB Pharma, Vendata Biosciences, Ventyx Biosciences, Vimalan Biosciences, Vivelix Pharmaceuticals, Vivreon Biosciences, Zealand Pharma; owns stock or stock options from Allakos, BeiGene, Gossamer Bio, Oppilan Pharma, Prometheus Biosciences, Progenity, Shoreline Biosciences, Ventyx Biosciences, Vimalan Biosciences, Vivreon Biosciences; and is an employee of Ventyx Biosciences. Dr Sandborn's spouse is a consultant for Iveric Bio, owns stock or stock options with Progenity, Ventyx Biosciences, and Vimalan Biosciences, consults with Oppilan Pharma, and is an employee with stock options of Prometheus Biosciences. The 019 Study team members disclose the following: Brian E. Lacy is on the scientific advisory boards for Arena, Allakos, Cosmos, Ironwood, Sanofi, and Viver. Mirna Chehade is a consultant for Regeneron, Allakos, Adare, Shire/Takeda, AstraZeneca, Sanofi, and Bristol Myers Squibb and has received research funding from Regeneron, Allakos, Shire, AstraZeneca, and Danone. Ikuo Hirano is a consultant for Adare, Arena Pharmaceuticals, AstraZeneca, Celgene/Receptos, Regeneron, Esocap, Gossamer Bio, Lilly, Shire/Takeda, and Allakos and receives research funding from Allakos, Celgene, Regeneron, and Shire/Takeda. Nirmala Gonsalves receives royalties from UpToDate and consults for Allakos, Astra-Zeneca, Sanofi-Regeneron, and Nutricia. Anthony Lembo is a consultant and on the advisory boards of Allakos, Ironwood, Takeda, Bayer, Vibrant, Aeon, Mylan, Shire, Bellatrix, Arena, and orphoMed, and owns stock in Johnson and Johnson and Bristol Myer Squibb. Colleen M. Schmitt receives research funding from Adare/Ellodi, Allakos, AstraZeneca, Braintree, Galectin, Lilly, and Rebiotix; is a shareholder in Boston Scientific Corporation, Cigna Corporation, United Healthcare, and Steris Corporation; and is on the advisory board of AmSurg/Envision. Marc E. Rothenberg is a consultant for Pulm One, Spoon Guru, ClostraBio, Serpin Pharm, Allakos, Celgene, Astra Zeneca, Arena Pharmaceuticals, Ellodi Pharma, GlaxoSmith Kline, Regeneron/Sanofi, Guidepoint, and Suvretta Capital Management, and has an equity interest in the first 5 listed, and royalties from reslizumab (Teva Pharmaceuticals), PEESSv2 (Mapi Research Trust), and UpToDate; and is an inventor of patents owned by Cincinnati Children's Hospital. Neal Jain is a paid consultant for AstraZeneca, Sanofi/Genzyme, Regeneron, Allakos, Pfizer, and Abbvie and received speaker fees from AstraZeneca, Sanofi/Genzyme, and Regeneron. Maria A. Pletneva consulted for Allakos. Kevin O. Turner is a consultant for Allakos and Adare. Bradford A. Youngblood is an employee of Allakos, own stocks, and is named on patents for the company.

Funding

Allakos Inc was the funding source and sponsor of the study. The sponsor compiled the data, the contract research organization provided statistical programming support, and the sponsor and authors jointly interpreted the data and contributed to writing the report.

Data Availability

Data available from Allakos Inc on request.

Supplementary Methods

We conducted a prospective study of patients with chronic GI symptoms (patients) from February 2020 through August 2020 at 20 sites in the United States, and a prospective study of healthy, asymptomatic subjects (controls) from June 2020 through January 2021 at 4 of the same sites.

Institutional Review Boards at each center approved the study protocols. All study subjects provided written informed consent and received compensation for their participation.

Symptomatic patients included in this study were aged 18–80 years with at least a 6-month history of symptoms (eg, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, early satiety, bloating, and abdominal cramping) without an identified cause and unresponsive to dietary and/or pharmacologic interventions, or with symptoms and a clinical diagnosis of IBS and/or functional dyspepsia. Patients with a known history of EoE were allowed in the study.

Patients entering screening were further assessed using the electronic EoG/EoD patient-reported outcome questionnaire.⁵ A minimum of 4 completed questionnaires per week was required. Screened patients with a daily mean individual symptom score \geq 3 for any of the 7 symptoms listed above, with the addition of loss of appetite (score range, 0–10), and a TSS \geq 10 (score range, 0–80) for 2 of the 3 weeks of screening were included in the study and underwent EGD with gastric and duodenal biopsies for histopathologic detection of EoG/EoD.

Healthy subjects (controls) were aged 18–80 years, had a mean daily individual symptom score of ≤ 1 on all symptoms assessed by the patient-reported outcome questionnaire over 2 weeks of screening, and were deemed healthy by the investigator based on absence of prescription medications and significant acute or chronic comorbidities. Controls taking proton pump inhibitors or antihistamines or with any pathology detected by endoscopy or histologic analysis were excluded.

Patients and controls who met their respective symptom criteria (as above) underwent EGD with standardized and identical endoscopy, biopsy, and histopathology protocols. Eight gastric biopsies (4 each from separate areas of the gastric corpus and antrum) and 4 duodenal biopsies (2 each from the second and third portions of the duodenum) were collected. As many as 2 additional gastric and/or duodenal biopsies could be collected from any areas of interest at the discretion of the endoscopist. As many as 4 esophageal biopsies (2 distal and 2 mid/proximal) were collected from patients with a history of EoE or patients found to have esophageal abnormalities on EGD. Biopsies were embedded in paraffin and $5-\mu$ m-thick sections were prepared for staining. A minimum of 5 nonoverlapping hpfs were evaluated for each biopsy. Eosinophils were identified by H&E staining.

Two independent central pathologists blinded to subjects' demographic, clinical, and endoscopic information performed the histologic analyses. A third pathologist evaluated a random subset of study patients and controls, also in a blinded fashion, to ensure the reliability of counts. Each biopsy specimen was examined at low magnification first $(40 \times \text{ and } 100 \times)$ to evaluate for proper orientation and presence of incidental findings that were criteria for exclusion (eg, *H pylori* infection, celiac disease, or neoplasia). A minimum of 5 nonoverlapping hpfs were evaluated for each biopsy.

The primary objectives of the studies were to evaluate the proportion of subjects who met the prespecified histologic criteria for EoG/EoD (as below) in at least 5 hpfs in the gastric mucosa and/or in at least 3 hpfs in the duodenal mucosa. Patients with *H pylori* present in biopsy samples were excluded. The criteria for EoG and/or EoD diagnosis were \geq 30 eos/hpf in 5 or more gastric and/or 3 or more duodenal hpfs, respectively. The histologic criteria were based on randomized trials following US Food and Drug Administration guidance.⁵ Additional post-hoc analyses were conducted among 2 symptomatic cohorts: EoG/EoD (positive by histology for EoG/EoD) and EoG/EoD-negative (negative by histology for EoG/EoD), and a control cohort (asymptomatic, healthy subjects).

Previous diagnoses of IBS, GERD, functional dyspepsia, and/or eosinophilic esophagitis, as well as medications, were collected from medical records.

The total study duration for each subject was approximately 40 days, comprising a screening period of up to 35 days before EGD, an EGD performed on day 1, and a followup phone call on day 4.

Summary statistics were computed to describe subject characteristics and eosinophil counts. To obtain mean eosinophil cell counts per hpf, the 5 highest counts from gastric hpfs and the 3 highest counts from duodenal hpfs were averaged. For all binary end points, the number and percent of subjects were calculated, along with 95% CI values. Continuous data were summarized using the number of subjects with nonmissing observations, mean, 95% CIs for the mean, median, SD, minimum value, and maximum value. Categorical data were summarized using the frequency count and percentage of subjects in each category. Subjects with missing values did not contribute to the denominator for percentage calculations unless specified otherwise. Statistical comparisons were made with unpaired t tests. Linear regressions with age and sex as covariates were performed for eosinophil count comparisons. The Pearson correlation coefficient was computed to determine the linear correlation between total and individual symptom scores and eosinophil counts in the stomach and duodenum.

Supplementary Table 1. Characteristics of Symptomatic Patients With and Without Eosinophilic Gastritis or Eosinophilic Duodenitis and Controls

Characteristic ^a	Patients with EoG/EoD (n = 152)	EoG/EoD-negative patients (n = 201)	P value ^b	$\begin{array}{l} \text{Controls} \\ \text{(n}=33) \end{array}$
Sex, female, n (%)	113 (74)	151 (75)	.902	13 (39)
Race, White, n (%)	135 (89)	182 (91)	.599	33 (100)
Weight, <i>kg,</i> median	84	80	.115	80
GI symptoms, mean no. of years	10	9	.127	_
Symptoms reported during screening, no, of subjects (%)				
Abdominal pain	151 (99)	200 (100)	1.000	0
Nausea	139 (91)	185 (92)	.847	0
Vomiting	80 (53)	88 (44)	.107	0
Diarrhea	144 (95)	179 (89)	.081	0
Early satiety	152 (100)	199 (99)	.508	0
Loss of appetite	147 (97)	194 (97)	1.000	0
Bloating	152 (100)	200 (100)	1.000	0
Abdominal cramping	151 (99)	201 (100)	.431	0